

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FI		. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,458	03/10/2004	Hartmuth C. Kolb	99,139-C	4001
75	90 04/29/2005	EXAMINER		
McDonnell Bo	ehnen Hulbert & Ber	ZUCKER, PAUL A		
31st Floor 300 South Wac	ker Drive	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606			1621	

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	V			
		10/797,458	3	KOLB ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Paul A. Zuc	:ker	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	lanuary 2005	•					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	·—							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		•				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer prity docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachme	• •		0 Intended Suppose	(PTO 412)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	3)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/797,458

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

In view of Applicants' inability to make an election as set forth in Applicants'
Response mailed 10 January 2005, the previous restriction requirement is hereby
withdrawn.

Priority

2. Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 120 as follows: An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet by identifying the prior application by application number (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). If the prior application is a non-provisional application, the specific reference must also include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Specification

- 3. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it consists of more than one paragraph. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Š

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 2 recite that the variable group "X" may be the divalent atoms or groups: O, CH₂, S, SO, and SO₂. However, no provision is made for the variable group "R₈" to be absent in those cases rendering the definition of the formulas unclear. Claims 1 and 2 and their dependent claims are therefore rendered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishibashi et al (Chemical & Pharmaceutical Bulletin, Syntheses of Arenediacetic Esters and Acetonyl-substituted Arylacetic Esters by Means of Friedel-Crafts Reaction with α-Acyl-α-chlorosulfides, 1985, 33(12), pages 5310-5315). Ishibashi discloses (Page 5313, 4th full paragraph) the compound diethyl –1,4-

Art Unit: 1621

benzenediacetate and its solution in hexane. This compound corresponds to a compound of the general formula with the following permitted selections of variable group definitions X, R_4 = 0; m, n= 1; Z =phenyl; A= COOCH₂CH₃; D, Y, R_5 , R_6 = H. Ishibashi therefore anticipates claims 1, 2 and 4.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 5 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,713,514. This is a double patenting rejection.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214

Application/Control Number: 10/797,458

Art Unit: 1621

USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 1,2, 4 and 5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 5-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,713,514. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compounds of claim 5 of the patent are anticipatory of the genus of claim 1 of the application. The compositions and methods of the instant application are therefore obvious over those of the patent.

Conclusion

9. Claims 1-5 are pending. Claims 1-5 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul A. Zucker whose telephone number is 571-272-0650. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 5:30-2:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,458

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann R. Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PANCA. ZUCKER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6